

# Development of rural areas: Contribution of regional policy

Dr. Natalija Kazlauskienė

EU Commission, DG REGIO,

Director

Thematic Development, Impact, Lisbon Strategy, Evaluation, Innovative Actions



## Cohesion objectives & priorities

- \* reduce the gap between the regions ("convergence"), focussing on the less-developed regions
- \* help all the regions to meet the challenges of the globalisation of the economy ("competitiveness")
- \* improve the co-operation between EU regions
- \* contribute to the Lisbon / Göteborg strategies, to promote competitiveness in a sustainable way:
  - economy: knowledge/training, RTD-I, ICT, SME
  - social issues: employment, health, ageing, poverty
  - environment: climate, natural resources, transport.
- \* Cohesion policy = about 1/3 of the EU budget.



# REGIONAL POLICIES: Specific references to rural areas (RA)

<u>SF - NSRF:</u> Art. 25§4.b, priorities related to diversification of RA, and Art. 25§5.b, mechanisms ensuring coordination ERDF, EAFRD, EFF <u>ERDF - Convergence:</u> no specific reference (but no restriction!) <u>ERDF - Regional competitiveness / Employment Objective:</u>

- ERDF Art.5§2a, Environment:
  Infrastructures / biodiversity → economic diversification of RA
- SGC §3.3: RA with poor infrastructures & services, no diversification
- SGC §4.1.1, Transport: secondary connections covering RA ERDF - Territorial Cooperation Objective:
- ERDF, Art.6, cross-border cooperation: links between cities and RA
- SGC §5.6, Interregional cooperation: links between cities and RA Particular territorial features (economic diversification of RA):
- ERDF, Art.9: focus on accessibility (transport, ICT), new activities, links with cities, tourism / amenities,
- SGC §5.2: focus on Services of GEI, connectivity, innovation, sustainable tourism, integrated approaches for development poles in RA (SM towns, clusters based on local assets, ICT use)



# Opportunities for implementing the thematic priorities of ERDF in rural areas

- → How to retain and attract people in remote RA?
- → How to diversify the activities?

#### Coverage with services of general interest (attractiveness):

- accessibility: secondary connections (roads, railways, waterways),
- ICT: web access (SMEs+public), e-services, e-health...
- water, energy, postal service, health, waste treatment,

### Support to SMEs, RTD & innovation in rural areas (competitiveness):

- co-operation between rural SMEs and local antennas of universities on bio-mass / -fuel / -tech / -diversity; environmental risks.
- creation of SMEs+clusters (rural + urban) (food, wood, handicraft, ICT),

#### Quality rural tourism in a sustainable way (example of diversification):

- satisfaction of the needs of the tourists, workers & local population,
- management of the natural and cultural heritage,
- local economy, local employment, quality of life, eco-education.



# Complementarity / consistency between EU funding sources for rural areas

### Risk of overlapping:

- → Infrastructures related to accessibility / transport
  - local roads,
- → <u>Processing and marketing infrastructure (related products)</u>
  - food and forestry sectors,
- → Other activities: economic diversification, support to SMEs, tourism.

"No-funds'-land" risk: ERDF→ cities; EAFRD→ farmers; EFF→ fishers

#### Procedure for ensuring complementarity, consistency, demarcation:

- ERDF (Art.9) / EAFRD / EFF: at the level of the OPs,
- SGC §5.2 (ERDF / EAFRD): main guiding principles in the NSRF,
- $\rightarrow$  in principle: ERDF  $\rightarrow$  large scale // EAFRD, EFF  $\rightarrow$  small scale





# Examples of Complementarity: Addressing rural concerns (1)

- Improved access through better transport and transport infrastructure
   <u>The Netherlands (Flevoland):</u> a hybrid of a taxi company and a public
   transport company was set up to facilitate links between homes and
   stations. Used mainly by youth and elderly.
- Development of ICT (infrastructure and services) access to SMEs, teleservices, teleworking, telemedicine
  - <u>Spain (Andalusia):</u> 25 pilot projects to provide internet access, elearning and services to SMEs
  - <u>NW England (Cybermoor):</u> provision of free PCs, community website, broadband access, adaptive equipment for people with disabilities
- Coverage of services of general interest (provision of basic services water, energy, phone, post) to improve quality of rural life
  - <u>Finland/Sweden/Norway:</u> Telemedicine in Far North medical advice without physically seeing the patient in sparsely populated areas
  - <u>France (Eastern Pyrenees):</u> recycling, transfer and incineration of waste in 18 isolated rural communities





# Examples of Complementarity: Addressing rural diversification (2)

- Research, development and innovation
   <u>Germany (Bavaria):</u> farmers, SMEs and the local council set up a heating company to use biomass to heat a school
- Clustering/co-operation between rural and urban SMEs
   <u>Belgium (Wallonia)</u>: 2 wood clusters dealing with biotechnology
   <u>Finland/Sweden/Norway</u>: cooperation in production and marketing of crafts and souvenirs
- Tourism and national heritage
   <u>Portugal (Alviela):</u> refurbishment of a centre that combines green tourism, environmental education and research



## Where do we go from here?







### Key questions to answer:

- What is RURAL, who is RURAL?
- How much our policies are RURAL?
- How do we support RURAL?
- Who are RURAL beneficiaries?
- Where do LAGGED RURAL areas fit?
- What is needed to MAKE a difference?





# What is "RURAL"? Who is "RURAL"?

- In majority of cases predominantly agricultural
- Lower income, insufficient financing resources
- Unfavourable demography, gender balance
- Lower professional skills / education level
- Relatively higher unemployment, non-farm employment is limited mainly to servicing agriculture
- Limited entrepreneurial skills
- Less developed transport, physical, social, communication infrastructure
- Situation is diverse depending on location, accessibility, attractiveness for living and investment





## How much our policies are "RURAL"?

### **EAGGF** programmed expenditure for 2000-2006:

Restructuring/competitiveness – EU-10 – 56%,

EU-15 - 52 %

Environment/land management – EU-10 – 41%,

EU-15 - 38 %

Rural economy/rural communities – EU-10 – 3%,

EU-15 - 10 %







How do we support "RURAL"?

		7 7 7
Type of support measures	2004-2006	2007-2013
Farm support		
- LFA	X	X
- semi-subsistent farming	X	
- compliments to direct payments	X	
- land improvement, reparcelling, water resource	X	X
management		
- compliance with EC standards	X	X
- investment in agri holdings	X	X
- setting up of young farmers	X	X
- farm early retirement schemes	X	X
- setting up farm relief and farm management	X	
- marketing of ag products	X	X
Protection of environment in connection to agriculture	X	
Restoring ag production potential damaged by nat	X	X
disasters		
Infrastructure connected with development of	X	X
agriculture		
Agri-environment, forestry	X	X
Producer groups	X	
Support to tourism, crafts	X	X
Financial engineering	X	X
Establishment / support of food processing facilities	X	X
TA, training, advisory service	X	X
Village development and renovation, rural heritage	X	X
Improving access to basic services for rural population	X	X X
Diversification of agricultural activities and activities	X	X
close to agriculture		





### Who are "RURAL" beneficiaries?

#### **Past, present and future:**

- Present farmers ...
- Former farmers ...
- Future farmers ...
- RURAL inhabitants and businesses

Will they have enough ideas, skills and resources to give a new start for RURAL economy?

The Salzburg conference conclusion:

'Rural development policy must sere the needs of broader society in rural areas and contribute to the cohesion'.

There is a need for a fresh look and inflow of new players!





## Where do lagged rural areas fit? (1)

#### Major concerns:

- Relatively small scale farming, less efficient agriculture, current income support systems decoupled from production → limited possibilities to establish/operate traditional business centred around agriculture
- Concentration of payments and absorption of investment support is mainly outside of lagged areas → low demand for services, low ability to invest\_to diversify jobs and income
- Geographical distance from population centers and industrial zones, relatively poor transport and physical infrastructure → lack of alternative employment, limited possibility to commute, higher probability of out-migration
- Relatively poor social capital, quality of life and administrative structure → lack of initiative, skills, administrative capacity to create development strategies, attract/absorb investment
- Poor access to ICT, information sources, new technologies, lack of alternative employment → outflow of younger generation from rural areas
- Ageing population, low mobility and adaptability → hard to secure sustainable quality labour for new start-ups, hard to develop/implement training activities

Key message from RD evaluation: 'The viability of rural areas can best be maintained and enhanced through territorial approaches which target multiple sectors in the rural economy. They should be based on strengthened local/regional coordination and management structures and be open to bottom-up participation starting from the programming stage'.





## Where do lagged rural areas fit? (2)

#### Potential advantages and opportunities:

- If physical infrastructure is improved attractive for business establishment/relocation
- Inflow of new population/businesses and farm income growth create demand for services
- Relatively lower cost for job creation and maintenance
- Availability of financial means: EARDF, FIFF, ERDF, ESF, private banking, national support measures
- SME support schemes
- State aid, loan guarantees and other financial engineering tools
- If social, education, culture and health infrastructure are improved

   attractive place to live for potentially commuting middle-high
   income urban labour, establish handicapped and retirement
   communities





## What is needed to make a difference?

- Clear vision of RURAL development: need for an integrated territorial approach
- Funding sources are separated from 2007 onwards (SF and EAFRD, EFF): better coordination and combined effort of managing authorities is crucial
- Reverse approach: from clear regional strategies for lagged areas to funding sources
- Higher rate of financial support (ERDF rates, State aid, access to finance), tax policies, incentive system
- Enhanced partnership: regional-local; public-private
- Investment in local governance, human resources, information and administrative capacity (Community development, leadership, strategic planning)
- Overall strong commitment to make a difference: last chance for EU -10!